•			72	11
1	ite 380	7	362-94	12
1	nue, Su	la 8910	: (702)	13
	3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	, Nevac	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472	14
		Vegas		15
		Las		16
4	ĸ		Tel:	17

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Randolph L. Howard, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 006688
GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 003818
Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd.
3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 362-7800
Facsimile: (702) 362-9472
E-mail: rhoward@klnevada.com
gspangler@klnevada.com
<u>Bapangari (a), mila yadan a mila</u>
Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT I

**INSURANCE** CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF **ARIZONA** 

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, successorin-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., a national banking association

Plaintiff,

VS.

LAKE ELSINORE 521, LLC a Nevada limited liability company; BRADLEY F. BURNS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:11-cv-00386-GMN-LRL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL OR REDACT HIBITS FROM FDIC'S MERGENCY MOTION TO QUASH RIT OF EXECUTION AND COMPEL SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

THIS MATTER came before the Court on Plaintiff FDIC's Motion to Seal or Redact Exhibits from FDIC's Emergency Motion to Quash Writ of Execution and Compel Satisfaction of Judgment filed on April 19, 2011. The Court, having reviewed the motion and other documents on file, being fully advised in the premises, and good cause appearing therefore, hereby orders as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that FDIC's Motion to Seal or Redact Exhibits from FDIC's Emergency Motion to Quash Writ of Execution and Compel Satisfaction of Judgment is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the FDIC's Emergency Motion to Quash Writ of

Page 1 of 2

925844 (7211-5)

Execution and Compel Satisfaction of Judgment [Doc. No. 19] be **SEALED** by the Clerk of the Court.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 20th day of April, 2011.

Glorja M. Navarro

United States District Judge

KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD.

RANDOLPH L. HOWARD, E.Q. Nevada Bar No. 006688 GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003818 3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSOR-IN -INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	RANDOLPH L. HOWARD, ESQ.	
ړ	Nevada Bar No. 006688	
2	GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003818	
3	Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd.	
-	3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	
ا ہ	Telephone: (702) 362-7800	
5	Facsimile: (702) 362-9472 E-mail: rhoward@klnevada.com	
6	gspangler@klnevada.com	
	gopungion water vada.com	
7	Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANC	E
	CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST	_
8	NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSO	
9	IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK (   ARIZONA	)r
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10		
	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA
11	EDDED AT DEDOGIE DIGITA ANGE	G N 011
12	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE   CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST	Case No.: 2:11-0
12	NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, successor-	FDIC'S EMER
13	in-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL	QUASH WRIT
	BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., a national	AND COMPEI

2:11-cv-00386-LRL

MERGENCY MOTION TO WRIT OF EXECUTION OMPEL SATISFACTION OF **JUDGMENT** 

[REQUEST PURSUANT TO LR 6-1]

Plaintiff,

VS.

banking association

LAKE ELSINORE 521, LLC a Nevada limited liability company; BRADLEY F. BURNS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,

Defendant.

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, successor-in-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., (hereinafter "FDIC") by and through its counsel of record, and hereby submits this Emergency Motion to Quash Bradley F. Burns' Writ of Execution and to Compel Satisfaction of Judgment. This Emergency Motion is based on and necessitated by Bradley F. Burns having caused a Writ of Execution to issue and having scheduled an execution sale of the FDIC's chose in action for April 26, 2011, all in violation of

919496.doc (7211-5) Page 1 of 8

The Notice of Marshal's Sale identifies the personal property to be sold as Plaintiffs'/Judgment Debtors' choses in action, causes of action, and claims brought in the United States District Court - District of Nevada Case

12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(13).

This Motion is supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Georlen Spangler, Esq. ("Spangler Declaration") attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and the papers and pleadings on file with the Court, all of which are incorporated herein by this reference.

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. ISSUE PRESENTED

The issue before this Court on this Motion is whether there is any circumstance where the judgment creditor, Bradley Burns, may execute upon his judgment against the FDIC on the FDIC's personal property. As more fully forth below, there are no circumstances which would allow execution on the judgment in the case at bar. 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(13) prohibits Bradley Burns from executing upon his judgment, and the FDIC has also established that the judgment has been satisfied. Accordingly, the FDIC respectfully requests that the instant emergency motion be granted.

#### II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February 10, 2011, Defendant Bradley F. Burns filed a Certification of Judgment for Registration in Another District [Doc. 1]. The final judgment at issue was an award for attorney's fees granted to Burns by the United States District Court, Central District of California. Thereafter, Burns filed a series of Affidavits and Requests for Issuance of Writ of Execution [Doc. 2 - 6]. On February 24, 2011, a Writ of Execution in favor of Bradley F. Burns and against the FDIC in the amount of \$116,491.76 [Doc. 7] was issued by the clerk. Upon learning of the issuance of the Writ, the FDIC promptly filed a Request for 90-Day Stay pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 1821 (d)(12)(A)(ii) [Doc. 9] in order to assess the claims and consider issuance of a Receiver's Certificate.

On March 22, 2011, the FDIC issued a Receivership Certificate of Proof of Claim to Bradley Burns in satisfaction of the judgment at issue in this litigation. A true and correct copy

<sup>#: 2:08-</sup>cv-01571-PMP-GWF, entitled: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation vs. Jason Halpern, et al. In this pending case, the FDIC has brought a claim for deficiency judgment against the guarantors of a loan.

On March 31, 2011, the FDIC's counsel contacted counsel for Burns regarding the Writ of Execution. Mr. Burns' counsel was specifically informed that the Receiver's Certificate satisfied the claim and that this action should be dismissed. *See* Spangler Declaration ¶ 3. In response, counsel for Burns represented that he had not received a copy of the Receiver's Certificate. Accordingly, a copy of the certificate was sent to Burns' counsel, and the FDIC renewed its request that the action be dismissed. *Id.* ¶ 4. On April 1, 2011, Burns' counsel responded by email stating, "I have not had the opportunity to discuss this with my client but I am sure that he will not accept the certificate as satisfaction of his judgment." *Id.* ¶5.<sup>2</sup>

In light of the foregoing, the FDIC filed a Reply in response to Burns' Opposition to the FDIC's Request for 90-Day Stay [Doc. No. 15]. The Reply advised the Court of the Receiver's Certificate which was issued to satisfy the judgment. Furthermore, due to the fact that the judgment has been paid via the certificate, the FDIC requested that the Court dismiss the instant action as moot.

Notwithstanding the fact the judgment has been paid, Burns had a Notice of U.S. Marshal's Sale of Personal Property issued, scheduling the sale of the FDIC's personal property (as described therein) for April 26, 2011. A copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. For this reason, the FDIC now brings the instant emergency motion requesting that this Court quash the Writ of Execution and issue an order prohibiting the Marshal from continuing with the sale of personal property identified in the Notice of Sale. The FDIC further requests that the Court issue an order compelling Mr. Burns and his attorneys to acknowledge the satisfaction of

Page 3 of 8

919496.doc (7211-5)

Moreover, the original Receiver's Certificate has been sent to Burns' counsel via hand delivery contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion. A receipt of copy verifying the delivery will be filed separately.

the judgment, as it is undisputed that the Receiver's Certificate has been issued to Burns in full satisfaction of the judgment.

#### III. LEGAL ARGUMENT

a. The Writ of Execution must be quashed and Mr. Burns must be prohibited from proceeding with the Marshal's Sale.

12 U.S.C. § 1821 (d)(12)(A) provides in pertinent part the following:

After the appointment of a conservator or receiver for an insured depository institution, the conservator or receiver may request a stay for a period not to exceed --

- (i) 45 days, in the case of a conservator; and
- (ii) 90 days, in the case of a receiver,

in any judicial action or proceeding to which such institution is or becomes a party.

12 U.S.C. § 1821 (d)(12)(A). It is further provided that "[u]pon receipt of a request by any conservator or receiver pursuant to subparagraph (A) for a stay of any judicial action or proceeding in any court with jurisdiction of such action or proceeding, the court shall grant such stay as to all parties." 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(12)(B). According to the plain language of this statute, this action has been stayed, as the FDIC has duly filed a request under 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(12). Therefore, Burns' Writ of Execution must be quashed in order to prevent unlawful execution on the judgment during the stay.

Moreover, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1821(d)(13) prohibits Burns from executing upon the judgment at issue in this litigation. Specifically, 12 U.S.C.A. § 1821(d)(13) states, "[n]o attachment or execution may issue by any court upon assets in the possession of the receiver." 12 U.S.C. §1821(d)(13). In RTC v. Cheshire, the Court held that "Section 1821(d)(13)(C) of FIRREA provides that '[n]o attachment or execution may issue by any court upon assets in the possession of the receiver" and that this "provision bars [the judgment creditor] from registering its judgment against RTC, for it prevents the

<sup>&</sup>quot;[T]he task of interpretation begins with the text of the statute itself, and statutory language must be accorded its ordinary meaning." *Telematics Int'l, Inc. v. NEMLC Leasing Corp.*, 967 F.2d 703, 706 (1st Cir. 1992).

11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472 12 13 15

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

encumbrance of property owned by the RTC as receiver." Resolution Trust Corp. v. Cheshire Mgmt. Co., Inc., 18 F.3d 330, 334 (6th Cir. 1994)(citing GWN Petroleum Corp. v. OK-Tex Oil & Gas, Inc., 998 F.2d 853, 857 (10th Cir.1993) (§§ 1821(d)(13)(C) and 1825(b)(2) prohibit any "ancillary remedy in aid of execution to obtain payment of a judgment")). The Court further stated that a "judgment lien interferes with the receiver's ability to dispose of assets in much the same manner as an attachment or execution" and to "allow a creditor to do so would frustrate Congress's purpose in enacting § 1821(d)(13)(C), which is to preclude post-receivership improvement of position." Id.

Therefore, Burns' Writ of Execution must also be quashed pursuant to 12 U.S.C.A. § 1821(d)(13), as Burns is clearly prohibited from executing upon the assets in possession of the FDIC. Furthermore, this Court must issue an order instructing the Marshal to cancel the sale, as Burns must be prevented from unlawfully prosecuting the instant Writ of Execution.

### b. The Receiver's Certificate issued by the FDIC satisfies Burns' judgment.

There is no question that the FDIC as Receiver of a failed institution may pay creditors with receiver's certificates instead of cash. Battista v. F.D.I.C., 195 F.3d 1113, 1116 (9th Cir. 1999), citing to RTC v. Titan Fin. Corp., 36 F.3d 891, 891 (9th Cir. 1994)(per curium). Section 1821(d)(10)(A) authorizes the FDIC, as receiver, to "pay creditor claims... in such manner and amounts as are authorized under this chapter." In Titan, the Ninth Circuit reasoned that the FDIC may use receiver's certificates as its manner of payment because requiring cash payments would subvert the comprehensive scheme of FIRREA including §1821(i)(2)'s limitation on an unsecured general creditor's claim to only a pro rata share of the proceeds from the liquidation of the financial institution's assets. See Titan, 36 F.3d at 892 (citing Franklin Bank v. FDIC, 850 F.Supp. 845 (N.D.Cal. 1994)). To require the FDIC to pay certain creditors in cash would allow those creditors to "jump the line," recovering more than their pro rata share of the liquidated assets, if the financial institution's debts exceed its assets. Battista, 195 F.3d at 1117. See also: F.D.I.C. v. Phoenix Casa Del Sol, LLC, 2011 WL 81858, \*2 (D.Ariz. March 3, 2011)(slip opinion).

In the case at bar, the FDIC has issued a Receiver's Certificate to Burns for the amount of the judgment. Therefore, the judgment has been satisfied. See Receiver's Certificate, attached hereto as Exhibit 2, and Declaration of Georlen Spangler, Esq., attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Because the judgment is satisfied, the FDIC is entitled to an entry of satisfaction of judgment. FRCP 69(a) applies the state law of the state where the Court is located to post judgment procedures on money judgments. NRS 17.200 provides, "[w]henever a judgment is satisfied in fact, the party or attorney shall give such an acknowledgment, and the party who has satisfied the judgment may move the court to compel it or to order the clerk to enter the satisfaction in the docket of judgment." NRS 17.200; Arley v. Liberty, 81 Nev. 411, 412, 404 P.2d 426, 427 (1965).

As set forth above, the judgment has been satisfied in fact by the Receiver's Certificate.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, the FDIC requests an order directing Burns and his attorneys to enter satisfaction of judgment within ten days, or upon his failure to do so, an order directing the clerk to make such entry.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, it is clear that the Writ of Execution and the Marshal's Sale violate 12 U.S.C.A. § 1821(d)(12) and (13). Therefore, the FDIC respectfully requests that this Court immediately issue an order quashing the Writ of Execution filed by Burns and issue an order instructing the Marshal to cancel the sale scheduled for April 26, 2011. The FDIC further requests that this Court immediately issue an order directing Burns and his attorneys to enter

///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 ///

<sup>&</sup>quot;Section 1821(j) states that, except as otherwise provided, the courts shall lack the power to restrain or affect the FDIC in the exercise of those powers." *Telematics Int'l, Inc. v. NEMLC Leasing Corp.*, 967 F.2d 703, 706 (1st Cir. 1992).

satisfaction of judgment within ten days, or upon his failure to do so, an order directing the clerk to make such entry.

DATED this 12 day of April, 2011.

KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD.

RANDOLPH L. HOWARD, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 006688
GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 003818

3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA

Attorneys for Defendant/Judgment Creditor

Bradley F. Burns

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd., and that on the 12 day of April, 2011, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of foregoing FDIC'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO QUASH WRIT OF EXECUTION AND COMPEL SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT in the following manner:

(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to FRCP 5(b)(3) and LR 5-4, the above-referenced document was electronically filed and served upon the parties listed below through the Court's Case Management and Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system:

Christopher H. Byrd, Esq.
Fennemore Craig
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

In Employee of Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd.

### **EXHIBIT 1**

# **EXHIBIT 1**

3320 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 380 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472
--

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	RANDOLPH L. HOWARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006688
2	Georlen K. Spangler, Esq.
3	Nevada Bar No. 003818  KOLESAR & LEATHAM, CHTD.  3320 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 380
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
5	Telephone: (702) 362-7800 Facsimile: (702) 362-9472
6	E-mail: rhoward@klnevada.com gspangler@klnevada.com
7	Attorneys for FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE
8	CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSOR-
9	IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
12	* * *
13	FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST Case No.: 2:11-
14 I	NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA successor-

Case No.: 2:11-cv-00386-LRL

in-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZŌNA, N.A., a national banking association

Plaintiff,

VS.

LAKE ELSINORE 521, LLC a Nevada limited liability company; BRADLEY F. BURNS, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,

Defendant.

DECLARATION OF GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF FDIC'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO **QUASH WRIT OF EXECUTION** AND COMPEL SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

[REQUEST PURSUANT TO LR 6-1]

### I, GEORLEN K. SPANGLER, ESQ., do hereby declare:

- I am a partner with the law firm of Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd., and am one of the 1. attorneys representing the Plaintiff in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below and am fully competent to testify to all facts set forth in this Declaration.
- 2. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiff FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER FOR FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA 's

919688 (7211-5)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

("FDIC") EMERGENCY MOTION TO QUASH WRIT OF EXECUTION AND **COMPEL SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT** (the "Motion"), filed concurrently herewith.

- On March 31, 2011, I spoke with Christopher Byrd, Esq., counsel for Defendant 3. Bradley F. Burns regarding the Writ of Execution and the Receiver's Certificate that was just issued by the FDIC allowing Burns' claim in the amount of \$116,491.76. I told Mr. Byrd that the Receiver's Certificate satisfied the claim and that this action should be dismissed.
- Mr. Byrd advised me that he had not yet received the Receiver's Certificate so I sent him an email with the Receiver's Certificate and accompanying cover letter attached. In the email, I reiterated that "the Receiver's Certificate satisfies the claim against the FDIC and, therefore, the Writ of Execution, and we would like to have that action dismissed." A true and correct copy of my March 31, 2011 email is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- On Friday, April 1, 2011 at 8:40 a.m., Mr. Byrd responded, by email, by stating: 5. "I have not had the opportunity to discuss this with my client but I am sure that he will not accept the certificate as satisfaction of his judgment." I immediately responded back at 9:00 a.m. as follows: "Based on the case law it is not his choice." A true and correct copy of the email chain dated April 1, 2011 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- Thereafter, on April 4, 2011, the FDIC filed its Reply to Bradley Burns' Opposition to the FDIC's Request for 90-Day Stay [Doc. 15]. In the Reply, we advised this Court that a Receiver's Certificate had been issued and that according to Battista v. FDIC, 195 F.3d 1113, 1116 (9th Cir. 1999), the FDIC was authorized to pay creditors with Receiver's Certificates instead of cash. Therefore, Burns had been paid in full. The FDIC then asked this Court to dismiss this action as moot.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. EXECUTED on April 11, 2011 in Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada.

# **EXHIBIT 1**

# **EXHIBIT 1**

### Georlen K. Spangler

From: Georien K. Spangler

**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2011 2:44 PM

To: cbyrd@fclaw.com

Cc: Randolph L. Howard; Natalie M. Cox; E. Daniel Kidd Subject: FDIC adv. Burns: Receiver's Certificate (7211-5)

Attachments: PDF - Letter from FDIC to Burns re: Notice of Allowance of Claim and Receivership Certificate

of Proof of



Chris,

Attached is a copy of the letter dated March 22, 2011 to Bradley F. Burns c/o you sending the Receiver's Certificate in the amount of \$116,491.76, which is the amount we calculated to be due on the California Judgment. You said that you have not yet received this.

The Receiver's Certificate satisfies the claim against the FDIC and, therefore, the Writ of Execution, and we would like to have that action dismissed.

Please advise ASAP.

jori

Georlen K. Spangler, Esq. Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd. 3320 West Sahara Ave., Suite 380 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Voice: 702-362-7800 Fax: 702-362-9472

This communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer.

This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.



1601 Bryan Street, Dallas, TX 75201

Division of Resolutions and Receiverships

March 22, 2011

Bradley F. Burns C/O Christopher H. Byrd, Esq. 300 South Fourth St Suite 1400 Las Vegas, NV 89101

SUBJECT:

10008 - FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA

RENO, NV - In Receivership

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE OF CLAIM

#### Dear Claimant:

On July 25, 2008 (the "Closing Date"), the FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, 6275 NEIL RD, RENO, NV, 89511 (the "Failed Institution") was closed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") was appointed Receiver (the "Receiver").

Enclosed you will find a Receiver's Certificate in the amount of \$116,491.76. The enclosed Receivership Certificate represents a formal record of your claim as allowed. As the FDIC acting as Receiver liquidates the assets of the Failed Institution, you may periodically receive payments on your claim through dividends. The Receiver pays dividends according to the priorities established by applicable law.

The Receiver will send your dividends to the address shown on your Receivership Certificate, please notify this office if your address changes.

If you have uninsured deposits, as established by the FDIC's insurance determination, you automatically have a claim for such funds. In the event you disagree with the FDIC's determination with respect to your uninsured deposits, you may seek a review of the FDIC's determination in the United States District Court for the federal judicial district where the principal place of business of the Failed Institution was located. You must request this review no later than 60 days after the date of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call (972) 761-8677.

Sincerely

Claims Department

	10008 - FIRST NATIONA (Name and	L BANK OF NEV	ADA RENO,	NV
	RECEIVERSHIP CERTIFICAT	TE OF PROOF O	F CLAIM - NO.383	3
March 22, 2011				
THIS IS TO CERT	IFY THAT <u>Bradley F, Burns</u>	Name)		(Tax No.)
Of C/O Christophe	r H. Byrd, Esq., 300 South Four	th St, Suite 1400	, Las Vegas, NV	(Tax 140.)
has made satisfact	ory proof that Bradley F. Burns	(Address) S		
is a creditor of the	10008 - FIRST NATIONAL BAN	IK OF NEVADA	·	in the amount of
One hundred sixtee	en thousand four hundred ninet	y one and seven	ty six /100	
<u> </u>			Dollar	s upon the following
claim to wit:				
CLAIM NUMBERS	ACCOUNT NUMBERS	AMOUNTS	FDI DPC#/TAX CODE	C USE ONLY
500009291-000	ACCOUNT NUMBERS	\$116,491.76	940.0	
TOTALS		\$116,491.76	940.1	\$1,520.76
			TOTALS	\$116,491.76
hereon.  No assignment of the notice of assignmer.	the lawful assignee of this clair nis claim, or any portion thereof, at has been given to the Receiven an paid. Please complete the se	, will be recognize er and accepted l	ed as to any distrib by it and entered th	ution unless written ereon before such
·	tify the Receiver promptly of any	v change in claim	ant's address.	
		- -	,	ATION, RECEIVER
	Ву	HA	(Receiver)	7
ASSIGNMENT OF I	RECEIVER'S CERTIFICATE	Date	:,	
or value received o	claimant herein named hereby to	ransfers and assi	gns the within clain	n to:
	(Name a	and Address)		
(C	Priginal Claimant Signature)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Da	ite Signed)
DIC accepted/ente	red on Date:,		by	

RLS7213 2

# **EXHIBIT 2**

30 C C C C C

# **EXHIBIT 2**

### Georlen K. Spangler

From:

Georlen K. Spangler

Sent:

Friday, April 01, 2011 9:00 AM

To:

CBYRD@FCLAW.com

Subject:

Re: FDIC adv. Burns: Receiver's Certificate (7211-5)

Based on the case law it is not his choice.

Georlen K. Spangler, Esq. Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd. 3320 West Sahara Ave., Suite 380 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Voice: 702-362-7800 Fax: 702-362-9472

This communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer.

This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.

---- Original Message ----

From: BYRD, CHRIS < CBYRD@FCLAW.com>

To: Georlen K. Spangler

Sent: Fri Apr 01 08:40:34 2011

Subject: RE: FDIC adv. Burns: Receiver's Certificate (7211-5)

Jori:

Good morning. I have not had the opportunity to discuss this with my client but I am sure that he will not accept the certificate as satisfaction of his judgment.

Chris

Fennemore Craiq, P.C

Denver | Las Vegas | Nogales | Phoenix | Tucson www.FennemoreCraig.com

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, to the extent this communication (or any attachment) addresses any tax matter, it was not written to be (and may not be) relied upon to (i) avoid tax-related penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promote, market or recommend to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein (or in any such attachment). For additional information regarding this disclosure please visit our web site.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this message may be protected by the attorney-client privilege. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please immediately reply to the sender that you have received the message in error. Then delete it. Thank you.

----Original Message----

From: Georlen K. Spangler [mailto:gspangler@klnevada.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2011 2:44 PM

To: BYRD, CHRIS

Cc: Randolph L. Howard; Natalie M. Cox; E. Daniel Kidd Subject: FDIC adv. Burns: Receiver's Certificate (7211-5)

#### Chris,

Attached is a copy of the letter dated March 22, 2011 to Bradley F. Burns c/o you sending the Receiver's Certificate in the amount of \$116,491.76, which is the amount we calculated to be due on the California Judgment. You said that you have not yet received this.

The Receiver's Certificate satisfies the claim against the FDIC and, therefore, the Writ of Execution, and we would like to have that action dismissed.

Please advise ASAP.

jori

Georlen K. Spangler, Esq. Kolesar & Leatham, Chtd. 3320 West Sahara Ave., Suite 380 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Voice: 702-362-7800 Fax: 702-362-9472

This communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer.

This transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately.

# **EXHIBIT 2**

# **EXHIBIT 2**



Division of Resolutions and Receiverships

March 22, 2011

Bradley F. Burns C/O Christopher H. Byrd, Esq. 300 South Fourth St Suite 1400 Las Vegas, NV 89101

SUBJECT:

10008 - FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA

RENO, NV - In Receivership

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE OF CLAIM

#### Dear Claimant:

On July 25, 2008 (the "Closing Date"), the FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA, 6275 NEIL RD, RENO, NV, 89511 (the "Failed Institution") was closed by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") was appointed Receiver (the "Receiver").

Enclosed you will find a Receiver's Certificate in the amount of \$116,491.76. The enclosed Receivership Certificate represents a formal record of your claim as allowed. As the FDIC acting as Receiver liquidates the assets of the Failed Institution, you may periodically receive payments on your claim through dividends. The Receiver pays dividends according to the priorities established by applicable law.

The Receiver will send your dividends to the address shown on your Receivership Certificate, please notify this office if your address changes.

If you have uninsured deposits, as established by the FDIC's insurance determination, you automatically have a claim for such funds. In the event you disagree with the FDIC's determination with respect to your uninsured deposits, you may seek a review of the FDIC's determination in the United States District Court for the federal judicial district where the principal place of business of the Failed Institution was located. You must request this review no later than 60 days after the date of this letter.

If you have any questions, please call (972) 761-8677.

Sincerely,

Claims Department

	10008 - FIRST NATION	NAL BANK OF NEV	ADA RENO,	NV
	RECEIVERSHIP CERTIFIC	ATE OF PROOF O	F CLAIM - NO.38:	3
March 22, 2011				
THIS IS TO CERT	IFY THAT <u>Bradley F. Burns</u>	(Name)		(Tax No.)
Of C/O Christophe	r H. Byrd, Esq., 300 South Fo	ourth St, Suite 1400,	Las Vegas, NV	(Tax 190.)
has made satisfact	ory proof that Bradley F. Bu	(Address)		
is a creditor of the	10008 - FIRST NATIONAL B	ANK OF NEVADA	·	in the amount of
One hundred sixted	en thousand four hundred nin	ety one and sevent	y six /100	
			Dollar	s upon the following
claim to wit:				
CLAIM NUMBERS	ACCOUNT NUMBERS	AMOUNTS	DPC#/TAX CODE	IC USE ONLY
500009291-000	ACCOON! NUMBERS	\$116,491,76	940.0	**************************************
TOTALS		\$116,491.76	940.1	\$1,520.76
			TOTALS	\$116,491.76
hereon.  No assignment of the notice of assignment and the notice of assig	the lawful assignee of this claim, or any portion there it has been given to the Recent paid. Please complete the entity.	of, will be recognize	ed as to any distrib by it and entered th	ution unless written nereon before such
Claimant should no	tify the Receiver promptly of a	any change in claim	ant's address.	
	4	AL DEPOSITINEUI	RANCE CORPOR	ATION, RECEIVER
	Ву		(Receiver)	
ASSIGNMENT OF I	RECEIVER'S CERTIFICATE	Date		·
For value received o	claimant herein named hereby	y transfers and assi	gns the within clair	n to:
	(Nam	e and Address)		
(C	Original Claimant Signature)		(Da	ate Signed)
FDIC accepted/ente	red on Date:		by	

# **EXHIBIT 3**

# **EXHIBIT 3**

1	Nevada Bar No. 1633				
2	Nevada Bar No. 11985				
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	7 Attorneys for Defendant Bradley F. Burns				
8	8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
10		Case No.: 2:11-CV-00386-KJD-LRL (formerly Case No.: 2:11-ms-00016-NA)			
11	11 NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA,	2.11-1115-00	010-1171)		
12	successor-in-interest by merger to FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARIZONA, N.A., a national banking association,				
13					
14	14				
15	vs.				
16	LAKE ELSINORE 521, LLC, a Nevada				
17					
18	Defendants.				
19	19				
20	NOTICE OF U.S. MARSHAL'S SALE OF PERSONA	L PROPE	RTY		
21	On March 11, 2009, a Judgment was entered by the United	On March 11, 2009, a Judgment was entered by the United States District Court fo			
22	the Central District of California, in favor of Defendant, I	BRADLEY	F. BUF	RNS	
23	(hereinafter the "Judgment Creditor"), and against Plaintiffs,	FEDERA	L DEPO	SIT	
24	24 INSURANCE CORPORATION, as Receiver for FIRST N.	ATIONAL	BANK	OF	
25	NEVADA, successor-in-interest by merger to FIRST NA	TIONAL	BANK	OF	
26	ARIZONA, N.A., a national banking association (hereinafter the	"Judgment	Debtors"	), ir	
27	the amount of ONE HUNDRED FOURTEEN THOUSAN	ID NINE	HUNDE	RED	
28	28 SEVENTY-ONE and 00/100 DOLLARS (\$114,971.00), plus post	-judgment	interest at	t the	

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C LAS/DMAUL/111951.2/024399.0001

1 Federal rate from the date of the Judgment until fully satisfied. Thereafter, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1963, the Judgment was registered with the United States District Court for the 2 3 District of Nevada on February 10, 2011. On February 24, 2011, the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District 4 5 of Nevada entered a Writ of Execution authorizing the Judgment Creditor to execute and sell at a U.S. Marshal's sale all right, title and interest in the following personal property 6 of the Judgment Debtors: Plaintiffs'/Judgment Debtors' choses in action, causes of 7 action, and claims brought in the United States District Court - District of Nevada Case #: 8 9 2:08-cv-01571-PMP-GWF, entitled: **FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE** CORPORATION vs. JASON HALPERN, et al. 10 11 The Writ of Execution was entered in favor of the Judgment Creditor and against 12 the Judgment Debtors. The amount due under the Judgment as of the date the Writ of 13 Execution was issued by the Court was ONE HUNDRED SIXTEEN THOUSAND FOUR 14 HUNDRED NINETY-ONE and 76/100 DOLLARS (\$116,491.76). 15 The personal property has been executed upon by the Judgment Creditor for the 16 satisfaction of the above described Judgment and will be sold by the US Marshal for the 17 District of Nevada to the highest bidder on April 26, 2011 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. on the 18 steps of the Entrance of the Lloyd D. George U.S. Courthouse, United States District 19 Court for the District of Nevada - Las Vegas, located at 333 S. Las Vegas Blvd, Las 20 Vegas, Nevada. 21 /// 22 /// /// 23 /// 24 25 /// /// 26 27 /// /// 28 LAS/DMAUL/111951.2/024399.0001

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

1 All interested parties may bid on the property at the execution sale and the property will be sold to the highest bidder. Any bidder wishing to make a bid must bring cash or 2 3 cashier's checks to the sale sufficient to cover the amount of its bid. The minimum bid is \$25,000.00. Upon sale of the personal property, the purchaser shall be substituted for and 4 acquire all the right, title and interest of the Judgment Debtors in such property. The 5 purchaser shall be given a certificate of sale as provided by NRS § 21.180. 6 DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2011. 7 U.S. MARSHAL - DESTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 10 Deputy U.S 11 Marsha 12 Submitted by: 13 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 14 15 Nevada Bar No. 1633 16 LINDSAY A. HANSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11985 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1400 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 18 Attorneys for Defendant Bradley F. Burns 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAS/DMAUL/111951.2/024399.0001

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.